

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET				OS REGISTRY FILE CM C-5	
SUBJECT: (Optional) Personnel Security Subcommittee Charter					
FROM: [Redacted] Chief, Policy and Plans Group 4-E-70 Headquarters		EXTENSION		NO. [Redacted]	
				DATE 19 April 1982	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	
		RECEIVED		FORWARDED	
1. C/ops/PSI DD/PSI		19 APR 1982			
2. DC/510 B					
3. C/PPG				BA	
[Redacted]					
6. [Redacted]					
7. [Redacted]					
8. [Redacted]					
9. [Redacted]					
10. [Redacted]					
11. [Redacted]					
12. [Redacted]					
13. [Redacted]					
14. [Redacted]					
15. [Redacted]					
<p>COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)</p> <p>[Redacted] as the CIA representative on the Personnel Security Subcommittee, has seen this, of course. You may wish to review it before we respond to the C/SECOM.</p> <p>2-3 Referral from [Redacted] former member of Investigative Standards Working Group (new Personnel Security Subcommittee) to current member —</p> <p>The undersigned was in attendance when the attached charter was unanimously approved and recommends no response; i.e. that CIA consider the document approved.</p>					

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
**Security Committee**

SECOM-D-142

14 April 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members, DCI Security Committee

FROM:

  
Chairman


SUBJECT: Personnel Security Subcommittee Charter

STAT

Forwarded herewith is the newly written charter for the Personnel Security Subcommittee. It has been reviewed and unanimously approved by the members of the Personnel Security Subcommittee as their basic operating document. It is requested that members review this paper and if there are no objections to it, it will become this Subcommittee's basic paper. If no response is received by myself or the Executive Secretary by 30 April 1982, we will consider that you have approved the document.

STAT

Attachment



0787/1

**Page Denied**

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

US REGISTRY

FILE CMC-5

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
Security Committee

SECOM-D-157

23 April 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members, DCI Security Committee

FROM:

Chairman

STAT

SUBJECT: SECOM Charter

REFERENCE: My Memo to Members, SECOM-D-104, dated 23 March 1982

As promised at the 21 April meeting, this addresses comments received on the draft revision of the SECOM charter forwarded by reference:

a. One member noted that the substance of the draft may not merit classification.

Comment: It is planned that the collection of revised DCIDs will be classified. Accordingly, our charter will be classified as part of the package.

b. A suggestion was made that the second sentence of section four be changed to read "Senior Officials of the Intelligence Community" instead of "Intelligence Community principals."

Comment: The Executive Secretary NFIB/NFIC chose the "Intelligence Community principals" terminology to permit continued representation on the SECOM and other DCI committees from organizations which do not come under "Senior Officials of the Intelligence Community" as that term is defined in Executive Order 12333.

c. One member noted that security education and awareness is left to a working group and recommended that this group be upgraded to permanent subcommittee status.

Comment: This does not bear on the wording of the draft charter, which authorizes the chairman to establish subcommittees, etc., as required. Under that authority, I accept the recommendation contingent upon SECOM member endorsement and approval of a tasking charter.

OS REGISTRY

2-0787/2

d. It was suggested that the list of implementing guidelines be reformatted for the sake of clarity; be consolidated at some future date; and include "Minimum Standards for Security Awareness Programs in the Intelligence Community."

Comment: Suggestions accepted.

e. A question was raised about the interpretation of section 2.b(2) on SECOM functions concerning investigations of unauthorized disclosures of classified intelligence.

Comment: It is not intended that the SECOM charter contain authority to mandate what procedures shall be employed to investigate such disclosures. However, the DCI does have clear authority to protect intelligence and to obtain information on disclosures necessary to assess the impact on the intelligence process and to support development of corrective security measures. The Security Committee was originally formed to address leaks and compromises of intelligence. A significant part of the present (18 May 1976) SECOM charter addresses our role in unauthorized disclosures. Although overall Executive Branch procedures concerning unauthorized disclosures of classified information generally are dealt with in the findings and recommendations of the report the Attorney General sent the President on 31 March 1982, nothing is being considered now which would in any way reduce the DCI's authorities or responsibilities concerning disclosures of intelligence. Accordingly, I believe we should carry forward to the new draft the essential elements of our present tasking. If the White House directs stronger measures to prevent disclosures, we can change the SECOM charter as needed. In the interim we need to move promptly to agree on a final draft charter. If SECOM members find the language of section 2.b(2) of the present draft troublesome, the following adaptation of our present charter, to be a new section 2.c (in place of 2.b(2) and renumbering sections appropriately), may be helpful:

"c. On behalf of the DCI, request and coordinate investigations by Intelligence Community components of unauthorized disclosures or compromises of classified intelligence; and determine and recommend corrective security measures needed to reduce the future likelihood of such disclosures or compromises."


May I have members' thoughts on this approach?

f. Several members advised of an error in section 2.c in stating the term "Sensitive Compartmented Information."

Comment: Correction made.



STAT



OS REGISTRY  
FILE CMC-5

14 APR 1982

STAT  
MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, DCI Security Committee  
FROM:   
CIA Member, DCI Security Committee  
SUBJECT: Draft SECOM Charter  
REFERENCE: Memorandum from Chairman/DCI SECOM, dated  
23 March 1982, same subject (SECOM-D-104)

Please be advised of the concurrence of the Central  
Intelligence Agency in the draft SECOM charter forwarded by  
reference.

STAT  
Distribution:

Orig - Adse  
1 - D/Sec  
① - OS Reg  
1 - PPG Chrono  
OS/P&M/PPC  cbt (12 April 82)  
Revised  cbt (13 April 82)

OS 2 0787-A

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

STAT

Draft SECOM Charter

STAT

FROM: <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> </span>			EXTENSION	NO.	DATE
Policy and Plans Group 4E-70, Hdqs.					
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED			
1. C/PPG			<i>DL</i>		
2.					
3. DD/P&M	12 APR 1982	4/12	<i>D</i>		
4.					
5. DD/SEC	12 APR 1982	4/12/82	<i>DL</i>		
6.					
7. D/SEC	12 APR 1982	14 APR 1982	<i>K</i>		
8.					
9. <i>PPG</i>					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

FORM  
1-79610 USE PREVIOUS  
EDITIONS

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
**Security Committee**

OS REGISTRY  
FILE Cmc-5

21849  
REFERENCE

SECOM-D-104

23 March 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members, DCI Security Committee

FROM:

Chairman

25X1

SUBJECT: SECOM Charter

1. As part of the ongoing effort to revise the Director of Central Intelligence Directives (DCIDs), Admiral Inman has approved an approach which looks to stating broad policy in new DCIDs and publishing implementing guidance, such as is found in many current DCIDs (e.g., DCIDs 1/14, 1/16 and 1/19), in attachments. The NFIB Executive Secretary and I cooperated in drafting a proposed revision of the SECOM charter (DCID 1/11) which reflects this approach. Admiral Inman has approved this revision for circulation to SECOM members.

2. The draft revision is attached. Members are requested to coordinate it within their departments and agencies and to provide me their concurrences or comments by 16 April 1982.

25X1

Attachment

Regraded UNCLASSIFIED When  
Separated from Attachment

OS 2 0787

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1



**Page Denied**

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Revised SECOM Charter

FROM:

[Redacted]

EXTENSION

NO.

Chief/OPS/DTAS

202

*CIB*

DATE

8 April 1982

STAT

SSTAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

Chief/PPG

9 APR 1982

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FORM  
1-79

610

USE PREVIOUS  
EDITIONS

CONFIDENTIAL

8 April 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Policy and Plans Group

FROM:

[REDACTED]  
Chief of Operations (PTAS)

25X1

SUBJECT: Revised SECOM Charter

1. Pursuant to your request for comments re Subject by 9 April, attached is a review/comment from the Chief, Information Systems Security Group. Additionally, we would like to point out that we are certainly in agreement with the intention and goals of SECOM, however, continue to feel that strong emphasis must be provided to upgrade security standards and criteria in the Community. The job of security daily seems to become more difficult in a permissive society with all its "leaks" and watered-down philosophies and attitudes. A job of SECOM, as we see it, is to disallow even the thought that standards and criteria are to be at the lowest common denominator level. [REDACTED]

25X1

2. A minor point is pointed out that on page 2, paragraph 2(c), the expression "special compartmented information" is probably in error. If this statement refers to SCI, it should be corrected to read "sensitive compartmented information." [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

Attachment

25X1

25X1

REGRADE UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED  
FROM CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief of Operations  
Physical, Technical and Area Security

FROM: [REDACTED] 25X1  
Information Systems Security Group, OS

25X1 SUBJECT: Comments on Revised SECOM Charter [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: Routing and Record Sheet dated 24 March 1982  
w/attachment

25X1 1. This office has reviewed referenced document. [REDACTED]

2. We have no problem with the approach as stated in reference which will separate broad policy from implementation guidance in the form of an Intelligence Community regulation provided that the effective date of the regulatory issuance conforms with the date of policy promulgation. If broad policy is published, for example, on the subject of computer security and there is a gap of time before the regulation to go with this policy is agreed to and published, agencies (and contractors) would be doing their "own thing" and chaos would ensue. There would be a breakdown in uniformity which we have been striving to achieve over these many years. Lack of such uniformity especially in the contractor world could and most probably would lead to increased dollar costs in processing community data (with different contractor requirements for instance and lack of Memoranda of Agreement(s) among member agencies). [REDACTED] 25X1

3. The bright side in this proposed charter is that technology changes which oftentimes generate new computer security vulnerabilities (e.g., fixed storage devices, remote diagnostics, etc.) can be handled as revisions to the regulation(s) with the broad policy document kept intact without the necessity of constantly changing it. In short, the policy section would be somewhat fixed, with changes made when needed in the regulation instead of the whole document. Our concern is that there always be a regulation to go with stated broad policy. [REDACTED] 25X1

[REDACTED] 25X1

[REDACTED] 25X1

[REDACTED] 25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT (Optional)

SECOM Charter

FROM

Chief, Plans Branch, PPG/OS  
4E-70 HQS

EXTENSION

NO

DATE

24 March 1982

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS: (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DB/PTAS

C/OPS/PSI

24 MAR 1982

FYI --

2.

DD/PSI

May I have your comments by 9 April 1982.

3.

4.

PPG/OS, 4E-70 HQS

5.

C/OPS/PSI

4/8/82

6.

PPG

4/8

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FORM 1-79

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS